SINGLE BENCH (3)

C.S. 1001

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 2743 **/2006** SB

PETITIONER

SUSHIL KUMAR PANDAY
S/o Shri Umakant Panday
Aged about 33 Years, R/o
Awas Plot, Village Karkapal
Post Hatkochara, Block
And Tahsil Jagdalpur.
Distt. Bastar.
(Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 2749/06
Presented by Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra
dated 12/6/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. **State Of Chhattisgarh**
Through Special Secretary
Environment and Town
Development department.
D.K.S. Bhawan Mantralaya
Raipur. (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR
12/6/06

2. **Collector Bastar**
Jagdalpur. (C.G.)

3. **Commissioner**
Municipal Corporation
Jagdalpur. (C.G.)

4. **Chief Executive Officer**
Jila Panchayat District
Bastar
Jagdalpur. (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 /227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF DIRECTION (S) OR ORDER (S).

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP.No.2743/06 सन् 200

35

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

13.6.2006:-

Shri Prakash Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. G.A. takes notice for the State/respondents No.1 and 2.

Heard both the counsel.

The case of the petitioner is that he was working as Secretary, Gram Panchayat Karkapal, Block Jagdalpur and he was performing his duties till 2nd March 2005. However, the area of Municipal Corporation, respondent No.3 herein, was expanded and Gram Panchayat Karkapal was included in the area of Municipal Corporation, Jagdalpur along with four Gram Panchayats. As per the circular the employees of all the Gram Panchayats were to be absorbed by the Municipal Corporation, Jagdalpur. Except the petitioner, other employees have already been absorbed. When the petitioner was not absorbed by the respondent No.1, the petitioner made representations Annexures-P/4 to Annexure-P/7 but nothing has been done so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that therefore, respondent No.1 be directed to decide representation of the petitioner to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, respondent No.1 is directed to decide representation of the petitioner regarding absorption of services of the petitioner in the Municipal Corporation, Jagdalpur as per the Government Policy, within a period of 30 days from today, in accordance with law. Respondent No.1 is also directed to decide regarding payment of salary of the petitioner.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge